




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/521,242 | 04/05/2000 | Walton Sumner II | 112962.201 | 6241 |
| 24395 | 7590 | 10/27/2003 | EXAMINER | |
| HALE & DORR LLP THE WILLARD OFFICE BUILDING 1455 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | | DAVIS, GEORGE B |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 2121 | | |
| DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003 | | | | 17 |

Notice of Appeal Due 11/8/03

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
ADDRESS: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20591

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAME OF APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| | | | |
| | | EXAMINER | |
| | | FILE DATE | PAGE NUMBER |
| | | 17 | |
| DATE MARKED | | | |

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

a) is extended to run _____ or continues to run 3 months from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 10/7/03 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 - a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
 - c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
 - d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

2. Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: _____

Claims objected to: _____

Claims rejected: _____

However:

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C 102(a) because Summer's article has different inventive entity and teaches the claimed invention

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because _____

5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. IDS filed 11/9/01 was not considered. However, THIS IDS was entered

The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner because there _____ figures is no arrow head on figure 19, Relational device and 1-18 are not labeled as prior art.

Other
Examiner did consider the content and nature of printed publication and circumstances surrounding its publication.

GEORGE B. DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY